

# Unrevealing Hidden Relations Between Latent Space and Image Generations in Diffusion Models



Łukasz Staniszewski<sup>1</sup> Łukasz Kuciński<sup>2,3,4</sup> Kamil Deja <sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Warsaw University of Technology <sup>2</sup>IDEAS NCBR <sup>3</sup>University of Warsaw <sup>4</sup>Polish Academy of Sciences

#### **#TLDR**

- We study relations between Gaussian noises x<sup>T</sup>, image samples x<sup>0</sup> and their latent encodings x<sup>T</sup> from the DDIM inversion procedure.
- We show that those encodings  $\mathbf{\hat{x}^T}$  manifold is between initial noise  $\mathbf{x^T}$  and image generations  $\mathbf{x^0}.$
- We show that noise x<sup>T</sup> to image x<sup>0</sup> mapping may be defined using the smallest L2 distance and that DMs learn important image features at the beginning of the fine-tuning.

# Where are the latents $\hat{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathrm{T}}$ located?

Latent encodings  $(\mathbf{\hat{x}^T})$  manifold is between random Gaussian noises  $(\mathbf{x^T})$  and their corresponding samples  $(\mathbf{x^0})$  manifolds.



## Background



We can inverse the standard diffusion denoising procedure into the noising procedure:

 $x_t = \gamma \cdot x_{t-1} + \eta \cdot \epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t, c)$ 

Due to circular dependency on  $\epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t, c)$ , DDIM inversion approximates it:  $\epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t, c) \approx \epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{x_{t-1}}, t, c).$ 

Latent  $\neq$  Noise

We can observe clear structures of original images  $\mathbf{x}^0$  in the inverted latents  $\mathbf{\hat{x}}^T...$ 



(a) DDPM (ImageNet)

(b) LDM (CelebA)

Diffusion model denoising trajectory is aligned with linear interpolation path between the Gaussian noise  $\mathbf{x}^{T}$  and latent encoding  $\mathbf{\hat{x}}^{T}$ .



Figure 5. Distances between next denoising steps and the  $\mathbf{x}^{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{\hat{x}}^{T}$  interpolation points. Intermediate generations along the sampling trajectory initially get closer to the latent variable, and after approximately 50-70% of the path, they pass the latent.

Noise-to-Sample mapping

...or by showing the image difference between the latent  $\mathbf{\hat{x}^{T}}$  and the noise  $\mathbf{x^{T}}$ .





Łukasz Staniszewski

The mapping between initial Gaussian noise  $\mathbf{x}^{T}$  and its corresponding generation  $\mathbf{x}^{0}$  is secretly a L2-based nearest neighbor mapping.

Τ	ImageNet (DDPM)		CelebA (LDM)	
	$x^0 \to x^T$	$x^T \to x^0$	$x^0 \to x^T$	$x^T \to x^0$
10	$99.4_{\pm 0.0}$	$100_{\pm 0.0}$	$100_{\pm 0.0}$	$100_{\pm 0.0}$
100	$100_{\pm 0.0}$	$59.0_{\pm 7.1}$	$100_{\pm 0.0}$	$100_{\pm 0.0}$
1000	99.8 $\pm 0.2$	$44.6_{\pm 6.3}$	$100_{\pm 0.0}$	$100_{\pm 0.0}$
4000	99.5 $\pm 0.3$	$43.3_{\pm 6.7}$	_	_



Figure 6. We are able to correctly select the original noise  $(\mathbf{x}^{T})$  for a given sample  $(\mathbf{x}^{0})$  by indicating the one with the closest L2 distance (left). Moreover, we show (right) that this mapping is established at the beginning of fine-tuning.

DMs generate the most important image features right at the beginning of fine-tuning, with only small details added further.



Latent encodings  $\mathbf{\hat{x}^{T}}$  have correlated pixels.

	DDPM	DDPM	LDM
	(CIFAR-10)	(ImageNet)	(CelebA)
Noise $(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{T}})$	$0.159 \pm 0.003$	0.177 =	E 0.007
Latent ( $\mathbf{\hat{x}^{T}}$ )	$0.462 \pm 0.009$	$0.219 \pm 0.006$	$0.179 \pm 0.008$
Sample ( $\mathbf{x}^{0}$ )	$0.986 \pm 0.001$	$0.966 \pm 0.001$	$0.904 \pm 0.005$

 Table 1. Top-10 correlation coefficients in random Gaussian noise vs. latent encoding.

### If you enjoy this work...

See the full paper for more details!



DDPM (ImageNet)

DDPM (CIFAR-10)

Training steps  $\rightarrow$ 

371K

445K 495K 545K 595K 645K 700K

lukaszstaniszewski10@gmail.com

arXiv paper

Supported by PL-Grid Infrastructure grant no. PLG/2024/017266.

ML in PL Conference 2024